# Abstract

Turbulent flows strain resources, both memory and CPU speed. DLN has greater accuracy and allows larger timesteps, requiring less memory and fewer FLOPS. DLN can also be implemented adaptively. The classical Smagorinsky model, as an effective way to approximate a (resolved) mean velocity, has recently been corrected to represent a flow of energy from unresolved fluctuations to the (resolved) mean velocity. In the report, we apply a family of second-order, G-stable time-stepping methods called DLN method to one corrected Smagorinsky model and provide the detailed numerical analysis about the stability and consistency. We prove that the numerical solutions under any arbitrary time step sequences are unconditional stable in long term and converge at second order. Numerical tests are given to confirm the rate of convergence and also to show that adaptive DLN helps to control numerical dissipation so that backscatter is visible.

# Model Equations

- The CSM model reads  $\nabla \cdot w = 0$  and  $w_t - C_s^4 \delta^2 \mu^{-2} \nabla w_t + w \cdot \nabla w - \nu \nabla w + \nabla q - \nabla \cdot \left( (C_s \delta)^2 |\nabla w| \nabla w \right) = f.$ In [1], the CSM model derivation and some basic properties of the CSM are developed and two algorithms (BE and CLNE) for its numerical
- simulation are proposed. •  $\mu$  is a constant from Kolmogorov-Prandtl relation, and  $(w,q) \approx (\overline{u},\overline{p})$ ,
- $\nu_T = (C_s \delta)^2 |\nabla w|, C_s \approx 0.1, \delta$  is a length scale.

## Notations

$$\begin{split} & X := \{ v \in L^{3}(\Omega) : \nabla v \in L^{3}(\Omega) \text{ and } v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \}, \ Q := L^{2}_{0}(\Omega) = \{ q \in L^{2}(\Omega) : g_{\Omega} q \, dx = 0 \}, \ \text{and } V := \{ v \in X : (q, \nabla \cdot v) = 0, \ \forall q \in Q \}. \\ & k_{n} = t_{n+1} - t_{n}, \ \epsilon_{n} = \frac{k_{n} - k_{n-1}}{k_{n} + k_{n-1}}. \\ & \alpha_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta + 1), \ \alpha_{1} = -\theta, \ \alpha_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta - 1), \ \beta_{2}^{(n)} = \\ & \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - \theta^{2}}{(1 + \epsilon_{n} \theta)^{2}} + \epsilon_{n}^{2} \frac{\theta(1 - \theta^{2})}{(1 + \epsilon_{n} \theta)^{2}} + \theta \right), \ \beta_{1}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1 - \theta^{2}}{(1 + \epsilon_{n} \theta)^{2}} \right), \ \beta_{2}^{(n)} = \\ & \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - \theta^{2}}{(1 + \epsilon_{n} \theta)^{2}} - \epsilon_{n}^{2} \frac{\theta(1 - \theta^{2})}{(1 + \epsilon_{n} \theta)^{2}} - \theta \right). \\ & w_{n,\beta} = \beta_{2}^{(n)} w_{n+1} + \beta_{1}^{(n)} w_{n} + \beta_{0}^{(n)} w_{n-1}, \ \widehat{k_{n}} = \alpha_{2} k_{n} - \alpha_{0} k_{n-1} = \\ & \frac{\theta^{k_{n} - k_{n-1}}}{2} + \frac{k_{n} + k_{n-1}}{2}. \\ & \widetilde{w_{n,\beta}^{n}} = \beta_{2}^{(n)} \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{k_{n+1}}{k_{n}} \right) w_{n}^{h} - \left( \frac{k_{n+1}}{k_{n}} \right) w_{n-1}^{h} \right\} + \beta_{1}^{(n)} w_{n}^{h} + \beta_{0}^{(n)} w_{n-1}^{h}. \\ & a_{1}^{(n)} = - \frac{\sqrt{\theta(1 - \theta^{2})}}{\sqrt{2}(1 + \epsilon_{n} \theta)}, \ a_{2}^{(n)} = - \frac{1 - \epsilon_{n}}{2} a_{1}^{(n)}, \ a_{0}^{(n)} = - \frac{1 + \epsilon_{n}}{2} a_{1}^{(n)}. \\ & MD = j_{\Omega} \left( \frac{C_{8}^{4} \delta^{2} \alpha_{2} \nabla w_{n+1}^{h} + \alpha_{1} \nabla w_{n}^{h} + \alpha_{0} \nabla w_{n-1}^{h} + \nabla w_{n,\beta}^{h} \right) \\ & + (C_{8} \delta)^{2} |\nabla \widetilde{w_{n,\beta}^{h}}| |\nabla w_{n,\beta}^{h}|^{2} \right) dx. \\ & ND = |\alpha \left( \frac{C_{8}^{4} \delta^{2} \alpha_{2} \nabla w_{n+1}^{h} + \alpha_{1} \nabla w_{n}^{h} + \alpha_{0} \nabla w_{n-1}^{h} + \kappa \nabla w_{n,\beta}^{h} \right) dx. \\ & ND = |\alpha \left( \frac{C_{8}^{4} \delta^{2} \alpha_{2} \nabla w_{n+1}^{h} + \alpha_{1} \nabla w_{n}^{h} + \alpha_{0} \nabla w_{n-1}^{h} + \kappa \nabla w_{n,\beta}^{h} \right) dx. \\ & ND = |\nabla w_{n,\beta}^{2}|^{2}, KE = \frac{1}{2} ||w_{n}^{h}|^{2}. \\ & VD = \nu ||\nabla w_{n,\beta}^{h}||^{2}, KE = \frac{1}{2} ||w_{n}^{h}|^{2}. \\ & \text{Minimum Dissipation criteria}, \chi = \left| \frac{\text{ND}}{\text{VD}} \right| < \text{Tol.} \end{aligned}$$

### **Full Discretization**

Given  $w_n^h$ ,  $w_{n-1}^h \in X^h$  and  $q_n^h$ ,  $q_{n-1}^h \in Q^h$ , find  $w_{n+1}^h$  and  $q_{n+1}^h$  satisfying  $\left(\frac{\alpha_2 w_{n+1}^h + \alpha_1 w_n^h + \alpha_0 w_{n-1}^h}{\widehat{k_n}}, v^h\right) + \nu(\nabla w_{n,\beta}^h, \nabla v^h)$ 
$$\begin{split} & \left( \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot w_{n,\beta}^{h}, \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot v^{h} \right) + \left( \frac{\alpha_{2} \mathbf{\nabla} w_{n+1}^{h} + \alpha_{1} \mathbf{\nabla} w_{n}^{h} + \alpha_{0} \mathbf{\nabla} w_{n-1}^{h}}{\widehat{k_{n}}}, \mathbf{\nabla} v^{h} \right) + b^{*}(w_{n,\beta}^{h}, w_{n,\beta}^{h}, v^{h}) \\ & - (q_{n,\beta}^{h}, \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot v^{h}) + \left( (C_{s}\delta)^{2} |\mathbf{\nabla} w_{n,\beta}^{h}| \mathbf{\nabla} w_{n,\beta}^{h}, \mathbf{\nabla} v^{h} \right) = (f(t_{n,\beta}), v^{h}), \ \forall v^{h} \in X^{h}, \\ & (\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot w_{n,\beta}^{h}, p^{h}) = 0, \ \forall p^{h} \in Q^{h}. \end{split}$$

Farjana Siddiqua and Wenlong Pei

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh



Timestep $k$	Meshsize $h$	$   e^w   _{\infty,0}$	Rate	$\  \nabla e^w \ _{\infty,0}$	Rate	$   e^p   _{\infty,0}$	Rate
0.08	0.09	6.03	_	56.85	_	10.86	_
0.04	0.042	0.049	6.92	1.36	5.39	0.08	7.10
0.02	0.02	0.01	2.06	0.39	1.76	0.02	2.04
0.01	0.01	0.003	2.009	0.12	1.94	0.005	1.98

**Important Result** 

The adaptive variable timestep DLN method applied to the Turbulent model (CSM) succeeds in showing backscatter while constant timestep fails.





Figure 2: Constant DLN with  $Re = 10,000, \ \theta = 0.95, \ C_s = 0.1, \ \mu = 0.4.$ 

# Variable Time Step Method of Dahlquist, Liniger, and Nevanlinna (DLN) for a Corrected Smagorinsky Model









Figure 3: Adaptive DLN with Tol = 0.05, Re = 10,000,  $\theta = 0.95$ ,  $C_s = 0.1 \ \mu = 0.4$ .



of  $\theta$ .

The closer  $\theta = 1$ , the closer DLN method gets to be exactly conservative. If it is exactly conservative, we do not need tight control over ND. The further we go away from exactly conservative, the tighter control we need over ND to see bacscatter. Next, to avoid the timestep condition we will work on the linearly implicit DLN method.

[1] F. Siddiqua and X. Xie. Numerical analysis of a corrected Smagorinsky model. Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Eq., 39(1):356–382, 2023.



# **Total Timesteps**

Table 2: Total timesteps taken to reach T = 10 while using variable DLN for different values

$\theta$	Tol	Total Timesteps
0.98	0.01	9575
0.95	0.01	6505
0.95	0.05	1604
$2/\sqrt{5}$	0.01	8988
$2/\sqrt{5}$	0.05	5680
$2/\sqrt{5}$	0.15	1973
2/3	0.01	9944
2/3	0.05	9575
2/3	0.15	7149

# **Conclusion and Future Work**

### References

### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the NSF Grant DMS-2110379.

### **Contact Information**

• Email: fas41@pitt.edu • Phone: +1 (786) 413 0784



